| 1 | FILED | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | KAMALA D. HARRIS. Attorney General of California GLORIA L. CASTRO NOV - 9 2011 | | | | | |
| 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General | | | | | |
| 4 | MICHEL W. VALENTINE Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 153078 | | | | | |
| 5 | 300 South Spring Street, Suite 5000 | | | | | |
| 6 | Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-1034 | | | | | |
| 7 | Facsimile: (213) 897-9395 Attorneys for Complainant | | | | | |
| 8 | BEFORE THE ACUPUNCTURE BOARD | | | | | |
| 9 | DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 1A-2009-144 | | | | | |
| 12 | BEAU B. KIM, L.Ac. 24208 Crenshaw Boulevard, | | | | | |
| 13 | Torrance, CA 90505 A C C U S A T I O N | | | | | |
| 14 | Acupuncturist License No. AC 5000 | | | | | |
| 15 | Respondent. | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | |
| 18 | Complainant alleges: | | | | | |
| 19 | PARTIES | | | | | |
| 20 | 1. Janelle Wedge (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity | | | | | |
| 21 | as the Executive Officer of the Acupuncture Board, Department of Consumer Affairs. | | | | | |
| 22 | 2. On or about September 30, 1994, the Board issued Acupuncturist License Number | | | | | |
| 23 | AC 5000 to Beau B. Kim, L.Ac. (hereinafter "Respondent"). Respondent's license is presently | | | | | |
| 24 | active, and will expire March 31, 2012. | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | |
| 28 | 1 | | | | | |
| | ACCUSATION (1A-2009-144) | | | | | |

| 1 | JURISDICTION |
|----|--|
| 2 | 3. This Accusation is brought before the Acupuncture Board (Board), Department of |
| 3 | Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the |
| 4 | Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated. |
| 5 | 4. Section 4928.1 of the Code states: |
| 6 | "Protection of the public shall be the highest priority for the Acupuncture |
| 7 | Board in exercising its licensing, regulatory, and disciplinary functions. Whenever |
| 8 | the protection of the public is inconsistent with other interests sought to be |
| 9 | promoted, the protection of the public shall be paramount." |
| 10 | 5. Section 4955 of the Code states, in relevant part, as follows: |
| 11 | "The board may deny, suspend, revoke, or impose probationary conditions |
| 12 | upon the license of any acupuncturist if he or she is guilty of unprofessional |
| 13 | conduct. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but not be limited to, the |
| 14 | following:" |
| 15 | |
| 16 | "(c) False or misleading advertising." |
| 17 | n n |
| 18 | "(e) Except for good cause, the knowing failure to protect patients by |
| 19 | failing to follow infection control guidelines of the board, thereby risking |
| 20 | transmission of blood-borne infectious diseases from licensee to patient, from |
| 21 | patient to patient, and from patient to licensee. In administering this subdivision, |
| 22 | the board shall consider referencing the standards, regulations, and guidelines of |
| 23 | the State Department of Health Services developed pursuant to Section 1250.11 of |
| 24 | the Health and Safety Code and the standards, regulations, and guidelines pursuant |
| 25 | to the California Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1973 (Part 1 (commencing |
| 26 | with Section 6300) of Division 5 of the Labor Code) for preventing the |
| 27 | transmission of HIV, hepatitis B, and other blood-borne pathogens in health care |
| 28 | |

| 1 | settings. As necessary, the board shall consult with the Medical Board of |
|----|---|
| 2 | California, the California Board of Podiatric Medicine, the Dental Board of |
| 3 | California, the Board of Registered Nursing, and the Board of Vocational Nursing |
| 4 | and Psychiatric Technicians, to encourage appropriate consistency in the |
| 5 | implementation of this subdivision." |
| 6 | "The board shall seek to ensure that licensees are informed of the |
| 7 | responsibility of licensees and others to follow infection control guidelines, and of |
| 8 | the most recent scientifically recognized safeguards for minimizing the risk of |
| 9 | transmission of blood-borne infectious diseases." |
| 10 | 6. Section 4955.2 of the Code states, in relevant part, as follows: |
| 11 | "The board may deny, suspend, revoke, or impose probationary conditions |
| 12 | upon the license of any acupuncturist if he or she is guilty of committing any one |
| 13 | of the following:" |
| 14 | "(a) Gross negligence." |
| 15 | H H • • • |
| 16 | "(b) Repeated negligent acts." |
| 17 | |
| 18 | 7. Section 4959 of the Code states, in relevant part, as follows: |
| 19 | "(a) The board may request the administrative law judge, under his or |
| 20 | her proposed decision in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, |
| 21 | to direct any licensee found guilty of unprofessional conduct to pay to the board a |
| 22 | sum not to exceed actual and reasonable costs of the investigation and prosecution |
| 23 | of the case." |
| 24 | "(b) The costs to be assessed shall be fixed by the administrative law |
| 25 | judge and shall not in any event be increased by the board. When the board does |
| 26 | not adopt a proposed decision and remands the case to an administrative law |
| 27 | |
| 28 | 3 |
| | J |

judge, the administrative law judge shall not increase the amount of any costs assessed in the proposed decision."

"(c) When the payment directed in the board's order for payment of costs is not made by the licensee, the board may enforce the order for payment in the superior court in the county where the administrative hearing was held. This right of enforcement shall be in addition to any other rights the board may have as to any licensee directed to pay costs."

"(d) In any judicial action for the recovery of costs, proof of the board's decision shall be conclusive proof of the validity of the order of payment and the terms for payment."

"(e) All costs recovered under this section shall be considered a reimbursement for costs incurred and shall be deposited in the Acupuncture Fund."

8. California Code of Regulations, Title 16, Section 1399.451, subdivision (e) states, in relevant part, as follows:

"In treating a patient, an acupuncturist shall adhere to the following procedures:

(e) Any complication, including but not limited to, hematoma,
 peritonitis or pneumothorax arising out of acupuncture treatment shall be referred
 immediately to a physician or dentist or podiatrist, if appropriate, if immediate
 medical treatment is required."

9. California Code of Regulations, Title 16, Section 1399.454, states the following:
"An Acupuncturist shall use needles labeled for single use only. It shall
constitute unprofessional conduct for an Acupuncturist to use a needle more than once."

///

///

 28°

| 1 | FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE |
|----|---|
| 2 | (Gross Negligence) |
| 3 | 10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4955.2 in that |
| 4 | his care and treatment of the two patients described below was grossly negligent pursuant to |
| 5 | Section 4955.2 of the Code. The circumstances are set forth below: |
| 6 | Patient M.O.K. |
| 7 | 11. On or about March of 2009, patient M.O.K. ¹ saw an advertisement placed by |
| 8 | Respondent in a Korean newspaper, which claimed that his acupuncture treatments could cure a |
| 9 | number of eye problems, including glaucoma and redness of the eyes. |
| 10 | 12. On or about March 28, 2009, patient M.O.K. began acupuncture treatment with |
| 1 | Respondent. Patient M.O.K. had symptoms of glaucoma, redness, and excessive tearing of his |
| 12 | eyes. M.O.K. received twenty-one (21) acupuncture treatments for these conditions from |
| 13 | Respondent from March 28, 2009, through May 20, 2009. |
| 14 | 13. Respondent used "botanical needles" (wooden needles) in the acupuncture |
| 15 | treatment of M.O.K. during all treatments from March 28, 2009, through May 20, 2009. |
| .6 | 14. Patient M.O.K. described the treatments by Respondent as "very painful" and |
| 17 | involving "poking and pressing her inner eye lids with a small wooden stick." M.O.K. stated that |
| 8 | on March 31, 2009, Respondent "hit" her left cornea with the wooden stick. She also stated that |
| 9 | on April 10, 2009, Respondent "hit" M.O.K. with a needle in her left cornea. Patient M.O.K. |
| 20 | developed an infection following these treatments. Due to Respondent's actions, on June 6, 2009, |
| 21 | M.O.K. independently and not at Respondent's recommendation sought treatment at the Cerritos |
| 22 | Eye Medical Center for "an infection of the cornea of her left eye." Upon examination it was |
| 23 | determined by the Cerritos Eye Medical Center that M.O.K.'s injury to her left cornea was |
| 24 | "consistent with an injury that occurred anytime within one (1) month to a year previous." |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | ¹ Patient names are abbreviated herein to protect patient confidentiality. Patients' full names will be provided upon receipt of a properly executed and served Request for Discovery. |

ACCUSATION (1A-2009-144)

5

15. On September 2, 2010, Respondent admitted, during an interview with a Senior Investigator with the Department of Consumer Affairs, that he "collects" the wooden needles from a "secret tree" and attempts to sterilize the needles at his office.

Patient J.W.

16. On or about July 16, 2009, J.W.² sought out Respondent's services with a complaint of chronic dry eyes. Patient J.W. found out about Respondent's treatment methods from both written advertisements and through a Korean language radio talk show during which J.W. heard the Respondent describe that he had a "guaranteed cure rate for dry eyes at 100%."

17. On July 16, 2009, J.W. informed Respondent that he was at that time using prescription eye drops for his dry eyes. Respondent advised him to stop using the prescription eye drops, and provided J.W. with "special water" to use in his eyes as drops instead. Respondent told J.W. that he "makes the water himself and that it was secret."

18. From July 16, 2009, through September 9, 2009, J.W. underwent eighteen (18) treatments and procedures over that 6 week period from Respondent. During all of these visits, the Respondent used a small wooden stick which he inserted into J.W.'s upper eyelids. After several of the treatments performed by Respondent, J.W. would complain of extreme and prolonged pain in his eyes. Each time, Respondent would again advise J.W. <u>not</u> to use the prescription eye drops (described in paragraph 17 above), and to <u>only</u> use Respondent's "special water" eye drops. He described these procedures to patient J.W. as his attempt to clear the area of "fat" that was blocking the tear ducts. These eighteen (18) procedures did not correct J.W.'s chronic dry eyes, as guaranteed by Respondent.

19. Respondent then recommended another twelve (12) procedures to J.W. J.W. accepted Respondent's advice. Patient J.W. then received another 12 of the same procedures described in paragraph 18 above from September 9, 2009, through October 2, 2009. During all of these visits, the Respondent used a small wooden stick which he inserted into J.W.'s upper eyelids. The additional 12 procedures still did not correct J.W.'s chronic dry eyes. The

² Patient names are abbreviated herein to protect patient confidentiality. Patients' full names will be provided upon receipt of a properly executed and served Request for Discovery.

Respondent then recommended yet another series of treatments, which J.W. refused to undergo. J.W. demanded a refund of \$2,000.00, which was the total he paid to the Respondent for the 30 treatments (both the first round of eighteen (18) treatments and the second round of twelve (12) treatments). Respondent refused to refund any of the money paid to him by J.W.

20. Respondent's treatment and care of patients M.O.K. and J.W. constitute gross negligence, and subject him to discipline within the meaning of Section 4955.2 of the Code in that:

A. Respondent used botanical needles that do not meet the requirements of Business and Professions Code section 4955, subdivision (e), and California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 1399.454, which require the use of sterilized, solid, stainless steel needles labeled as single use needles in acupuncture treatments. Respondent knowingly did not comply with the requirements of Business and Professions Code section 4955, subdivision (e), and California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 1399.454 by using wooden needles from a "secret tree" which he attempted to sterilize, and appears to have reused in his patients.

B. Respondent violated section 4955, subdivision (c), in that he disseminated false and misleading written and oral advertisements. Specifically, he offered a 100% cure rate for dry eyes, and cures for glaucoma and redness of the eyes. Patient J.W. specifically sought out Respondent's services, and paid him \$2,000 for 30 painful and grossly negligent treatments, which did not cure his ailments. Respondent refused to refund the moneys paid to him in reliance of his 100% offer of a cure through his methods of using "secret water" instead of prescription medications, attempting to clear out "fat" from tear ducts, and wooden needles.

22 C. Respondent deviated from the standard of care of acupuncture in the
23 following respects:

24 (1) Respondent used botanical needles that were not sterilized and were
25 not solid, stainless steel needles labeled as single use needles in acupuncture treatments.

26 (2) Respondent knowingly used wooden needles, when he knew wooden
 27 needles were not permitted to be used in acupuncture treatment. He subjected patients J.W. and

7

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

| 11 | |
|----|---|
| 1 | M.O.K. to unhygienic, and reused wooden needles, which are specifically prohibited for use in |
| 2 | acupuncture treatments. He exposed both patients to the risk of permanent eye injury and |
| 3 | infections, both of which occurred with patient M.O.K. |
| 4 | (3) Respondent disseminated false and misleading written and oral |
| 5 | advertisements. Specifically, he offered a 100% cure rate for dry eyes, and cures for glaucoma |
| 6 | and redness of the eyes, which he was not able to deliver to patient J.W. |
| 7 | (4) He caused injury to a patient M.O.K.'s left cornea due to his use of |
| 8 | unaccepted, unsanitary, and dangerous methods of hitting her eye with wooden sticks and |
| 9 | needles. He also failed to immediately refer patient M.O.K. to a physician upon the obvious |
| 10 | complications resulting from his treatments. |
| 11 | (5) He specifically advised patient J.W. to stop using prescribed eye |
| 12 | drops, and instead use his "secret water" eye drops, which clearly were not effective and of |
| 13 | dubious origin. |
| 14 | (6) His continued assurances to patients M.O.K. and J.W. convinced each |
| 15 | of them to accept his "professional" recommendations of unaccepted, dangerous, and painful |
| 16 | acupuncture treatments, even in the face of the evident failures of his treatments and guaranteed |
| 17 | outcomes. |
| 18 | D. Respondent violated section 4955.2, subdivision (b), due to his repeated |
| 19 | negligent acts, as described above in paragraph C. |
| 20 | SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE |
| 21 | (False and Misleading Advertising) |
| 22 | 21. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under section 4955, |
| 23 | subdivision (c), of the Code, by guaranteeing the success rate of his treatments by 100%. |
| 24 | The circumstances are as follows: |
| 25 | 22. Paragraphs 16 through 19, 20 B, and 20 C (3) are hereby incorporated by reference |
| 26 | and realleged as if fully set forth. |
| 27 | |
| 28 | /// |
| | 8 ACCUSATION (1A-2009-144) |

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Infection Control Failure)

23. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under section 4955, subdivision
(e), of the Code, in that he has failed to comply with infection control guidelines by using needles in the practice of Acupuncture that were not labeled for "single use only." The circumstances are as follows:
24. Paragraphs 11 through 19, and 20 C (1), (2), (4), and (6), are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth.
FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
(Referral from Complication)
25. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 1399.451, subdivision (e), in that Respondent failed to refer
M.O.K., who was experiencing complications arising out of the acupuncture treatments he

performed, immediately to a physician. The circumstances are as follows:

26. Paragraphs 11 through 15 are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts)

27. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under section 4955.2, subdivision (b), of the Code, in that he has committed repeated acts of negligence in the practice of acupuncture. The circumstances are as follows:

28. Paragraphs 10 through 26 are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if
fully set forth.

AGGRAVATING FACTORS

29. On January 28, 2009, Respondent received an administrative citation for
inappropriate advertising of using the word "cure," and using wooden needles. On May 14,
2009, an informal hearing was held and Respondent appealed the citation. Respondent removed
the advertising from circulation, and assured the Board that he would no longer use wooden

| needles. Th | ne fine was modified | d to \$1,000.00, | and a modified | decision was is | ssued on May 28, | | | |
|---|---|------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| 2009. | | • | | | | | | |
| | | PF | RAYER | | | | | |
| WHE | WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, | | | | | | | |
| and that following the hearing, the Acupuncture Board issue a decision: | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | 1. Revoking or suspending Acupuncturist License Number AC 5000, issued to Beau | | | | | | | |
| B. Kim; | | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Ordering Respon | ident to pay the | Acupuncture B | oard the reasor | able costs of the | | | |
| investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section | | | | | | | | |
| 4959; and | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Taking such othe | er and further a | ction as deemed | necessary and | proper. | | | |
| DATED: | NOV 0 9 201 | n (| landli /i | Indan | Jan 1 | | | |
| | | | NELLE WEDGE | | <u></u> | | | |
| | | (/ Ac | cupuncture Board ate of California | v | | | | |
| | | Co | omplainant | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | · | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | • | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | | |
| SA2011101168 | | · | | | | | | |
| 10721062.doc | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 10 | | | | | |