1	ROB BONTA	
2	Attorney General of California JUDITH T. ALVARADO	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General REBECCA L. SMITH	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 179733	
5	300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6475 Facsimile: (916) 731-2117	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE ACUPUNCTURE BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
9		
10		ALIFORNIA
11		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation Against:	Case No. D1-2010-145
13	PAUL A. SLIDDERS, L.Ac.	A COLUCA MACANA AND DEMANDA MACANA
14	2657 Kentia Street Oxnard, CA 93036	ACCUSATION AND PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION
15	Acupuncturist License No. AC 12278,	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18		
19	<u>PARTIES</u>	
20	1. Benjamin Bodea (Complainant) brings this Accusation and Petition to Revoke	
21	Probation solely in his official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Acupuncture Board,	
22	Department of Consumer Affairs.	
23	2. On or about March 17, 2008, the Acupuncture Board issued Acupuncturist License	
24	Number AC 12278 to Paul A. Slidders, L.Ac. (Respondent). That license expired on January 31,	
25	2022, and has not been renewed.	
26	3. In a disciplinary action entitled <i>In the Matter of the Accusation Against Paul A</i> .	
27	Slidders, L.Ac., Case Number 1A-2010-145, the Acupuncture Board, issued a Decision, effective	
28	January 16, 2014, in which Respondent's Acupuncturist License was revoked. However, the	
		1

1	revocation was stayed and Respondent's Acupuncturist License was placed on probation for a	
2	period of five (5) years, or until Respondent tendered full payment of all money owed in cost	
3	recovery, whichever is longer, along with certain terms and conditions. A copy of that Decision	
4	is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated by reference.	
5	<u>JURISDICTION</u>	
6	4. This Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Acupuncture	
7	Board (Board), under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the	
8	Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.	
9	5. Section 118 of the Code states:	
10	board in the department shall not, unless the board has consented in writing to such withdrawal, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a proceeding against the applicant for the denial of the license upon any ground provided by law or	
11		
12		
13	(b) The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by	
14	order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground.	
15		
16		
17	(c) As used in this section, "board" includes an individual who is authorized by	
18	any provision of this code to issue, suspend, or revoke a license, and "license" includes "certificate," "registration," and "permit."	
19	merades certificate, registration, and permit.	
20	6. Section 4928.1 of the Code states:	
21	Protection of the public shall be the highest priority of the Acupuncture Board in exercising its licensing, regulatory, and disciplinary functions. Whenever the	
22	protection of the public is inconsistent with other interest sought to be promoted, the protection of the public shall be paramount.	
23	protection of the paorie shair of paramounts	
24	STATUTORY PROVISIONS	
25	7. Section 4955 of the Code states:	
26	The board may deny, suspend, or revoke, or impose probationary conditions upon, the license of any acupuncturist who is guilty of unprofessional conduct.	
27	Unprofessional conduct shall include, but not be limited to, the following:	
28	///	

• • •

- (b) Conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of an acupuncturist, the record of conviction being conclusive evidence thereof.
- (d) Aiding or abetting in, or violating or conspiring in, directly or indirectly, the violation of the terms of this chapter or any regulation adopted by the board pursuant to this chapter.

• •

(i) Any action or conduct that would have warranted the denial of the acupuncture license.

...

8. Section 4956 of the Code states:

A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of an acupuncturist is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this chapter.

The board may order a license suspended or revoked, or may deny a license, or may impose probationary conditions upon a license, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her pleas of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, complaint, information, or indictment.

9. Section 490 of the Code states:

- (a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- (c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
- (d) The Legislature hereby finds and declares that the application of this section has been made unclear by the holding in *Petropoulos* v. *Department of Real Estate*

stating he was going to die. The officers arrived on the scene and learned that Respondent had asked three park patrons for something to drink. One of the victims directed Respondent to a water fountain. When the victims refused to give Respondent a can of beer, he took a can of beer and physically fought with the victims. Respondent grabbed the female victim by the neck and threw her on the ground. One of the male victims attempted to intervene and Respondent punched that victim several times. The third victim then approached Respondent to try to calm him down at which time Respondent struck the third victim in the head with a can of beer causing injury. Respondent attempted to leave the scene with a black purse belonging to the female victim. The third victim managed to force Respondent to lie on the ground where he remained until the police arrived. Respondent told the police that he felt like he was having a heart attack. Respondent was taken to a local hospital's emergency department. When asked if he had any injuries, Respondent stated that he thought that he broke his fingers when he assaulted one of the victims. Once medically cleared, Respondent was placed under arrest for robbery and assault with a deadly weapon likely to cause great bodily injury and taken to the Santa Barbara County Jail.

- 13. On or about August 26, 2020, in a criminal complaint entitled *The People of the State of California v. Paul Alexander Slidders*, Case Number 20CR06100, filed in the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Barbara, Respondent was charged with the following two felony counts: (1) second degree robbery, in violation of Penal Code section 211, a serious felony within the meaning of Penal Code section 1192.7, subdivision (c)(19) and a violent felony pursuant to Penal Code section 667.5, subdivision (a)(1), a serious felony within the meaning of Penal Code section 245, subdivision (a)(1), a serious felony within the meaning of Penal Code section 1192.7, subdivision (c)(23). The criminal complaint included special allegations for a prior serious/violent felony conviction in violation of Penal Code section 667, subdivision (e)(1).
- 14. On or about September 20, 2020, Respondent notified the Board of his August 25, 2020 arrest.

///

1. Not associate with known illegal drug users or sellers.

28

1

was approached by officers regarding the disturbance at the business on State Street, the other three individuals requested that they be permitted to leave the area since they were not associated with Respondent and did not agree with his poor behavior. The three individuals left the area and Respondent was questioned by the officers. Respondent denied being at the business where the disturbance occurred. While detained by the officers for questioning, Respondent was uncooperative and belligerent. The business owner was interviewed regarding the incident and filled out the form for a citizen's arrest. A statement was also taken by one of the three males sitting near Respondent at the time the officers initially approached Respondent. This individual stated that Respondent asked if he could have a bite of the individual's burrito that he was eating and the individual said no. The individual stated that Respondent was then aggressive towards him and stated something similar to "I'll f- - -king kill you if you don't give me your f- - -king burrito." The individual, who was unfamiliar with Respondent and uncertain as to whether Respondent would harm him, removed a lighter and folding knife device to defend himself. Respondent told the individual that his knife was bigger. Respondent's pocket knife was collected and subsequently booked into evidence due to the threat of physical violence. Respondent was arrested and transported to Santa Barbara County Jail where he was booked for trespassing and obstructing a business in violation of Penal Code section 602.1, subdivision (a), disturbing the peace, in violation of Penal Code section 415, subdivision (2), and criminal treats in violation of Penal Code section 422.

- 18. On or about October 20, 2021, Respondent notified the Board of his July 11, 2021 arrest.
- 19. On or about June 22, 2022, in a criminal information entitled *The People of the State of California v. Paul Alexander Slidders*, Case Number 21CR04410, filed in the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Barbara, Respondent was charged with the following three misdemeanor counts: (1) criminal threats in violation of Penal Code section 422; (2) obstructing or intimidating business operators or customers, in violation of Penal Code section 602.1, subdivision (a); and (3) disturbing the peace by loud noise, in violation of Penal Code section 415, subdivision (2).

acupuncture license. Complainant refers to and, by this reference, incorporates herein, paragraphs 11 through 22, above, as though fully set forth herein.

FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Comply with Probation Condition Number 6: Obey all Laws)

- 25. Condition 6 of the January 16, 2014 Decision states:
- "6. Obey All Laws. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of acupuncture in California. A full and detailed account of any and all violations of law shall be reported by the Respondent to the Board in writing within seventy-two (72) hours of occurrence."
- 26. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition 6 of the January 16, 2014 Decision, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are set forth in paragraphs 11 through 22, above, and incorporated herein by this reference.

SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Comply with Probation Condition Number 13: Cost Recovery)

- 27. Condition 13 of the January 16, 2014 Decision states:
- "13. Cost Recovery. Respondent shall pay to the board its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$10,000.00. The cost recovery amount may be paid in installments over the period of probation, five (5) years, and may be paid ahead of time without penalty. Payment must be tendered in full at the conclusion of the probationary period. Failure to tender full payment of the amount owed will extend the probationary period in accordance with this Decision and Order."
- 28. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Condition 11 of the January 16, 2014 Decision, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- a. Respondent's last cost recovery payment was in the amount of \$50.00, paid on March 15, 2021. The remaining balance of the cost recovery owed by Respondent is in the amount of Five Thousand Two Hundred Five Dollars and No Cents (\$5,205.00).

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

29. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent,
Complainant alleges that on or about April 20, 2011, in a prior criminal law proceeding entitled
The People of the State of California vs. Paul Alexander Slidders, Case Number SC171342, in the
Superior Court of the State of California, Marin County, Respondent was convicted of one count
of battery causing serious bodily injury in violation of Penal Code sections 243, subdivision (d)
and one felony count of criminal threats in violation of Penal Code section 422. Respondent was
placed on probation for a period of five years under terms and conditions, including psychiatric
treatment with reports provided to the criminal probation department and compliance with a
protective order prohibiting Respondent from all contact with the two victims.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Acupuncture Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking the probation that was granted by the Board in Case Number 1A-2010-145 and imposing the disciplinary order that was stayed, thereby revoking Acupuncturist License Number AC 12278, issued to Paul A. Slidders, L.Ac.;
- 2. Revoking or suspending Acupuncturist License Number AC 12278, issued to Paul A. Slidders, L.Ac.;
- Ordering Paul A. Slidders, L.Ac. to pay the Acupuncture Board the reasonable costs
 of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code
 section 4959; and,
 - 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: AUGUST 22, 2023___ Original Signature on File

BENJAMIN BODEA
Executive Officer
Acupuncture Board
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California

State of California *Complainant*

LA2023603078 66171085.docx